

# LATAH COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

LATAH COUNTY COURTHOUSE \*522 S. ADAMS \* PO BOX 8068 \* MOSCOW, ID 83843 \* (208) 883-7220

---

Janet Hohle, Chairman

Robert Henriksen

Suvia Judd, Vice Chairman

J. Steven Heick

Cindy Agidius

Danelle Forseth, Secretary

John Akin

## Meeting Notes for February 17, 2009 Latah County Planning Commission

Attending PC Members: Akin, Heick, Henriksen, Hohle, Judd

Attending P&B Staff: Fuson

The regular meeting of the Latah County Planning Commission was convened at 5:32 PM. The minutes of the February 3, 2009 meeting were accepted as submitted.

The PC discussed the comprehensive plan and workshop compilations. It was requested that the workshop compilation spreadsheets be posted to the county web site.

The following summarizes the PC discussions regarding elements nos. 6, 9, 10, and 13 of the existing Latah County Comprehensive Plan:

Element #6 School Facilities and Student Transportation: County population and school enrollment perspective need to be updated.

Element #9 Special Areas: Need to update and add any new inventories for analysis since last CP update. The concept of Latah County scenic importance was a significant part of input at workshops.

Element #10 Hazardous Areas: Need to add Wildland-Urban Interface Fire Mitigation Plan [part of Idaho's statewide implementation strategy for the National Fire Plan]. Need to add Idaho Geological Survey hazard mapping for Potlatch River watershed. There was an extensive discussion about county snow-load building requirements. These have not been updated for years. MF - updates are needed, but this kind of analysis is not appropriate for the comprehensive plan as snow-load requirements are a component of the county building code.

Element #13 Implementation: The PC felt that this element is adequate to provide the necessary public process required. It is recommended that action #7 be eliminated.

### Public Comment/Questions/Recommendations:

- ✓ County should require groundwater monitoring of new development to evaluate impact on existing uses.
- ✓ PC should keep non-Idaho landowners, non-county landowners, and non-landowners impact on county in perspective.
- ✓ What is the status of and how many approved but not developed land divisions are there in the county?
- ✓ Recommend that the PC conduct a review of the CP for internal consistency.

### Next Meeting and Assignment

The March 3, 2009 meeting cancelled; the next meeting will be March 17, 2009. Assignment: 1) Review CP elements 1,2,3,4,5,7,8,11,12,14 relative to workshop compilations. 2) Think of analysis categories needed for CP review and possible amendment.